



COVID-19

UPDATE:
August 11, 2020

**Coronavirus / COVID-19
Response Team**

MILLER 
JOHNSON
Attorneys

1

MILLER 
JOHNSON
Attorneys

**FFCRA Regulations Update
& Michigan EO-166**

» Sandy Andre
Rebecca Strauss

MILLER 
JOHNSON
Attorneys

The materials and information have been prepared for informational purposes only. This is not legal advice, nor intended to create or constitute a lawyer-client relationship. Before acting on the basis of any information or material, readers who have specific questions or problems should consult their lawyer.

Today's Topics

- FFCRA Regulations Update
 1. The work availability requirement
 2. The definition of Health Care Provider
 3. Intermittent leave
 4. Documentation
- Michigan Executive Order 166

FFCRA Regulations Update

Background: FFCRA

- April 1, 2020- December 31, 2020
- (1) Most public-sector and (2) private-sector employers with fewer than 500 employees
- EPSLA: Up to two weeks of paid leave for one of six COVID-19 virus related reasons
- Expanded FMLA: Up to 10 weeks of partially paid leave to care for a child whose school or place of care is closed because of the pandemic

Legal Challenge: FFCRA

- Legal challenge to four specific areas:
 - Work availability requirement, health care provider definition, intermittent leave, documentation
- Take-away for non-SDNY employers is not straightforward
 - Applicability: New York or nationwide?
 - DOL appeal?
 - DOL new regulations?
 - Retroactivity: Going forward or dating back to April 1st?

1. Work Availability Requirement

- As written:
 - DOL's position: The inability to work must be solely caused by the qualifying condition (e.g., one of the 6 qualifying reasons for leave)
 - Practically: Excludes from benefits any employee whose employer does not have work for them
- Court's decision:
 - The sole cause of the employee's inability to work does not have to be the qualifying condition
 - Practically: If an employee is furloughed, but the employee cannot work for one of the qualifying reasons, the employee is entitled to FFCRA leave.

2. Definition of “Health Care Provider”

- As written:
 - DOL position: “Health care providers include any individual who is capable of providing health care services necessary to combat COVID-19 public health emergency. Such individuals include not only medical professionals, but also other workers who are needed to keep hospitals and similar health care facilities well supplied and operational.”
 - Practically: Very, very broad; essentially included any employee of certain types of employers.

2. Definition of “Health Care Provider” (Cont.)

- Court’s decision:
 - Definition is “vastly overbroad,” definition should be linked to the type of employee rather than the type of employer.
 - Practically: Court did not replace the definition, or given instructions on what the parameters an employer could or should use when determining the appropriate scope of this exemption.

3. Intermittent Leave

- As written:
 - DOL's position: In an effort to limit the risk that an employee might spread COVID-19 to other employees, intermittent leave should be limited to certain situations, and employers must consent before an employee can take intermittent leave.
- Court's decision
 - Agree that intermittent leave should be limited to certain situations to limit risk of spreading virus
 - Where intermittent leave is permitted, employer consent is not required

4. Documentation Requirement

- As written:
 - DOL's position: Employee required to submit documentation substantiating the employee's need for leave prior to taking FFCRA leave.
- Court's decision:
 - Requirement that employee submits documentation substantiating the need for leave remains
 - However, employer can no longer require that the employee submit this documentation ***prior to*** the employee taking leave.

FFCRA: Practical Considerations

- Impending school closures could implicate each one of these “revised” regulations
 - The work availability requirement
 - The definition of Health Care Provider
 - Intermittent leave
 - Documentation
- Outside FFCRA obligations: What are we doing to keep working parents/childcare providers supported and in the workforce?

Michigan Executive Order 166

EO 2020-166: Protecting Workers Who Stay Home, Stay Safe

- **Rescinds** EO 2020-36
- **Effective:** Friday, August 7, 2020
- **Update:** Primary symptoms of COVID-19:
 - Fever, sore throat, new uncontrolled cough that causes difficulty breathing, diarrhea, vomiting, abdominal pain, new onset of a severe headache, new loss of taste or smell
 - **Note:** This listing is different than the listing symptoms that require a restaurant/bar to immediately close (EO 2020-161)

EO 166 Update: Return to Work Formula

- Employees should stay home until:
 - 24 hours have passed since resolution of fever, and
 - 10 days have passed since symptoms first appeared (or, since swabbed for the test the yielded the positive test result), and
 - Other symptoms have “improved”
- Test-based strategy
 - Removes the ability for a one-time symptomatic employee to return immediately upon receiving a negative test result
 - **Note:** CDC does have a test-based strategy

EO 166: Updates Close Contacts

- Definition of “close contact”: Within 6 feet for 15 minutes
- Workers exempted from the “close contact” quarantine: Now includes emergency medical technicians and workers in adult foster care facilities
- **Does not** change the 14-day quarantine requirement for being in close contact with a symptomatic individual or a confirmed positive case

EO 166 Update: Documentation

- The prohibition in EO 2020-36 against employer discipline/discharge for an employee’s failure to comply with documentation requirements has been removed
- Take-away:
 - Employers may take ordinary and traditional steps to ask substantiation of an employee’s need for leave (e.g., health care provider verification that employee has experienced qualifying symptoms)

EO 2020-166: Q & A

Q: Can an employee that has symptoms and tests negative for COVID-19 return to work prior to 10 days?

Q: Can an employee with symptoms return to work after receiving a negative test?

Q: If an employee answers “yes” to having a EO 166 symptom and tests negative, do they remain home? If so, how long?

Questions?

MILLER JOHNSON
Attorneys



Sandy Andre
616.831.1731
andres@millerjohnson.com



Rebecca Strauss
269.223.2986
straussr@millerjohnson.com

millerjohnson.com

45 Ottawa Ave SW
Suite 1100
Grand Rapids, MI 49503

100 W Michigan Ave
Suite 200
Kalamazoo, MI 49007

21

Back to Work Resource Center

<https://resources.millerjohnson.com/>

MILLER JOHNSON
Resource Center

Guidance Contact Account Log in

Our **Back to Work Resource Center** provides a single source option for employers who are wrestling with the legal and practical consequences of a changed world.

For less than the cost of a typical handbook update, you gain access to an extensive collection of resources and Miller Johnson work product that we are confident will provide meaningful support to your efforts to navigate waters that are not only choppy but continuously changing direction.

Here is a sampling of what subscribers will find:

- Forms, Plans and Policies – we have drafted templates for you to put into service immediately
- Practical Guidance – tools to effectively, safely and confidently return to work incorporating best practices from outside organizations, including community partners such as Spectrum Health
- Industry Specific Guidance – pages devoted to industries singled



22