HEALTH CARE PRIORITY REFORM

Employee Benefits - September 2012



IN THIS ISSUE 3

Contact Us



New Guidance Helps Employers Determine Who is a Full-Time Employee Under Pay or Play Penalty

By Mary V. Bauman; baumanm@millerjohnson.com; 616.831.1704

Important new guidance has been issued to help employers determine who is a full-time employee for purposes of the pay or play penalty under Health Care Reform. The new guidance is more flexible and workable for employers than earlier guidance.

Under Health Care Reform, employers with 50 or more full-time employees must offer group health coverage that satisfies certain requirements or pay a penalty. The pay or play penalty takes effect in 2014. The legislation defines full-time employee for this purpose as an individual who works, on average, at least 30 hours per week. Employers have been clamoring for further details to help sort out who is a full-time employee for purposes of the pay or play penalty. Newly-released IRS Notice 2012-58 offers safe harbor methods employers may, but are not required to, use. The safe harbor methods are for certain new hires and ongoing employees and are effective at least through the end of 2014.

NEW HIRES

Under the notice, when an employer must treat a new hire as a full-time employee for purposes of the pay or play penalty depends on whether the employee is reasonably expected to work full-time as of his or her date of hire vs. whether the employee is a variable hours employee or seasonal employee.

Employees Who are Reasonably Expected to Work Full-Time

If a new hire is reasonably expected to work full-time and the employee is offered health coverage on or before the conclusion of the employee's initial three



Mary V. Bauman

calendar months of employment, the employer will not be required to pay a penalty with respect to the employee.

Variable Hours and Seasonal Employees

Under the notice's safe harbor method, variable hours and seasonal employees are not required to be offered health coverage as quickly as new hires that are reasonably expected to work full-time. For this second group of employees, an employer is not required to offer health coverage in order to avoid the pay or play penalty unless the employee actually works, on average, at least 30 hours per week during a "measurement period" of between three and 12 months. If a variable hours or seasonal employee works the required number of hours during the measurement period, the worker must be treated as full-time during a subsequent "stability period" which must be

New Guidance on Full-Time Employee, continued from page 1

a period of at least six months, and no shorter than the initial measurement period. If the worker does not satisfy the full-time requirement during the measurement period, his or her stability period may not be more than one month longer than the initial measurement period. During the stability period, the employer will not be subject to the pay or play penalty if such a worker is not provided with health coverage, even if the individual works, on average, at least 30 hours or more per week.

An employee is a variable hours employee if, as of his or her hire date, it can't be determined whether the employee is reasonably expected to work, on average, at least 30 hours per week. Employers are permitted to use a reasonable good faith interpretation of the term "seasonal employee" for purposes of the guidance but it appears the IRS will likely consider the definition to include retail workers employed during holiday seasons, agricultural workers and ski instructors.

ONGOING EMPLOYEES

The notice also provides a safe harbor method for ongoing employees. Under the safe harbor, employers can apply a measurement period/stability period test similar to the one described above. An employee is treated as an ongoing employee (vs. a new hire) after the individual completes his or her initial measurement period. If an ongoing employee doesn't satisfy the "on average, at least 30 hours per week" test for a measurement period, the employer will not be required to pay a penalty if it does not offer the employee health coverage for the subsequent stability period (which can't be longer than the measurement period). This is true regardless of the employee's actual hours of work during the stability period.

ADMINISTRATIVE PERIOD

The employer may adopt an "administrative period" of up to 90 days in between the measurement period and stability period under the safe harbor for ongoing employees. The purpose of the administrative period is to give employers time to determine which ongoing employees are eligible for coverage and to notify and enroll employees. For example, the employer could adopt a 12-month measurement period beginning on October 15 of year one and ending on October 14 of year two. Then, instead of immediately beginning the stability period on October 15 of

2

year two, the employer could adopt an administrative period and begin the 12-month stability period on January 1 of year three.

There is a similar administrative period available with respect to newly-hired variable hours and seasonal employees. In addition to the 90-day restriction, in no event can the initial measurement period and administrative period delay enrollment for a variable hours or seasonal employee who is full-time beyond the last day of the first calendar month beginning on or after the anniversary of the employee's start date. For example, if the employer uses a 12-month measurement period for newly-hired variable hours and seasonal employees, and the employee was hired on May 10, his or her measurement period would end on May 9 of the following year. The employer could maintain an administrative period after May 9 as long as the stability period for offering coverage to the newly-hired full-time employee began no later than July 1.

OTHER ISSUES ADDRESSED In the guidance

- Employers may use different measurement periods and stability periods for different groups of employees such as hourly employees vs. salaried employees, or collectivelybargained employees vs. non-collectively bargained employees.
- If an employer offers health coverage to its full-time employees, additional requirements must also be satisfied. Otherwise, lower income workers who enroll in health coverage through a state exchange and receive premium credits will trigger penalties for the employer. One of the requirements is that the employer's coverage is "affordable." Health Care Reform defines "affordable" as the employee's required premium not exceeding 9.5% of his or her household income. Previously, the IRS indicated that it intended to provide a safe harbor under which employers will be deemed to satisfy the affordability test for a year if the premium for single employee coverage under the employer's lowest cost health option does not exceed 9.5% of the employee's wages for that year (as defined for purposes of Box 1 on Form W-2). Notice 2012-58 confirms that the IRS will maintain this safe harbor approach, at least through the end of 2014, for purposes of satisfying the affordability test to avoid the pay or play penalty.

New Guidance on Full-Time Employee, continued from page 2

At the same time the above guidance was issued, a companion notice was also issued concerning the Health Care Reform ban on more than 90-day waiting periods for health coverage. The new waiting period rules take effect in 2014. In IRS Notice 2012-59, it was clarified that an employer adopting a measurement period approach to determine if a newly-hired variable hours or seasonal employee must be considered a full-time employee will not run afoul of the 90-day waiting period rule if coverage is made effective for a qualifying employee no later than the

first day of the month on or after the date the employee completes 13 months of service. IRS Notice 2012-59 also provides that as long as an employee may elect coverage that would begin within 90 days of his or her date of hire, the 90-day waiting period limit will be deemed satisfied, even if the employee does not timely enroll.

If you have any questions concerning the new guidance or Health Care Reform, please contact the author or any other member of the Health Care Reform Team.

CONTACT US

If you have any questions about this new guidance or how any proposed health care reform changes will impact your organization, please feel free to contact Mary Bauman or another member of Miller Johnson's Health Care Reform Team. If you would like to reprint articles, schedule a speaker, or receive our newsletter and alerts, please send an e-mail to healthcarereformteam@millerjohnson.com.



GRAND RAPIDS

p 616.831.1700f 616.831.1701

KALAMAZOO

p 269.226.2950
f 269.226.2951

www.millerjohnson.com



MERITAS

Miller Johnson is a member of Meritas, an association of independent law firms worldwide.

These are some of the Miller Johnson attorneys available to answer your questions and provide assistance on issues related to health care reform (Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act and the Health Care and Education Affordability Reconciliation Act):

MARY V. BAUMAN, CHAIR 616.831.1704 baumanm@millerjohnson.com

FRANK E. BERRODIN 616.831.1769 berrodinf@millerjohnson.com

JAMES C. BRUINSMA 616.831.1708 bruinsmaj@millerjohnson.com

DAVID M. BUDAY 269.226.2952 budayd@millerjohnson.com

TONY COMDEN 616.831.1757 comdent@millerjohnson.com

WILLIAM H. FALLON 616.831.1715 fallonw@milleriohnson.com JEFFREY J. FRASER 616.831.1756 fraserj@millerjohnson.com

RICHARD E. HILLARY 616.831.1774 hillaryr@millerjohnson.com

KENNETH G. HOFMAN 616.831.1721

hofmank@millerjohnson.com

616.831.1733 murphyl@millerjohnson.com

NATHAN D. PLANTINGA 616.831.1773 plantingan@millerjohnson.com

MARK E. RIZIK 616.831.1744 rizikm@millerjohnson.com STEPHEN R. RYAN 616.831.1746 ryans@millerjohnson.com

CHRISTOPHER "C.J." SCHNEIDER 616.831.1738 schneiderc@millerjohnson.com

MATTHEW L. VICARI 616.831.1762 vicarim@millerjohnson.com

SARAH K. WILLEY 269.226.2957 willeys@millerjohnson.com

If you received this from someone else and wish to receive your own copy, please send your name, company name and e-mail address to healthcarereformteam@millerjohnson.com.

This newsletter is a periodic publication of MILLER JOHNSON and should not be construed as legal advice or legal opinion on any specific facts or circumstances. The contents are intended for general information purposes only, and you are urged to consult your lawyer concerning your own situation and any specific legal questions you may have. For further information about these contents, please contact us.

© 2012 MILLER JOHNSON. All rights reserved.

3